

20

1 WORKED FOR MR. CONSTANT, I SPENT TWO YEARS WORKING FOR
2 HIM. AND IN THAT TIME HAD MANY, MANY OCCASIONS TO SEE THE
3 WAY HE CONDUCTED BUSINESS AND THE WAY HE HANDLED HIS
4 FINANCES AND HIS AFFAIRS.

1 A. THE -- SPECIFICALLY THE CASE WITH WALTER.
2 WALTER WAS NOT BEING PAID BACK. I HAD A SINKING FEELING,
3 AND I KNEW IN MY HEART THAT THE SAME THING WAS STARTING
4 ALL OVER AGAIN, AND THAT WALTER WAS NOT GOING TO GET HIS
5 MONEY. AND IT MADE ME SICK BECAUSE I KNEW WHAT KIND OF
6 GENTLEMAN MR. THILL WAS, AND I KNEW WHAT THE ARRANGEMENT
7 WAS WHEN HE LOANED THAT MONEY. I KNEW WHAT THE
8 UNDERSTANDING WAS.

9 MR. CONSTANT KNOWS -- KNEW WHAT THE
10 UNDERSTANDING WAS. HE KNEW IT THEN; HE KNOWS IT NOW. HE
11 KNOWS HE OWES THAT MONEY REGARDLESS OF WHAT THE COMPANY OR
12 THE CORPORATE PAPERS SAY.

13 Q. DID YOU -- WHAT FINALLY CAUSED THE
14 TERMINATION OF YOUR EMPLOYMENT AT MEGAMEDIA?

15 A. IT RAN OUT OF MONEY. I WAS ON A -- YOU KNOW,
16 I WAS BEING PAID A DECENT AMOUNT OF MONEY. I HAD NO
17 COMPLAINT WITH THAT, AND I KNEW THAT THINGS WERE TOUGH. I
18 DID NOT BELIEVE THAT I WAS GOING TO BE LET GO. I REALLY
19 BELIEVED THAT WHETHER OR NOT HE SOLD THE COMPANY OR GOT
20 RID OF THE COMPANY THAT I WOULD BE ASKED TO CONTINUE
21 WORKING FOR HIM BECAUSE I HAD DONE A GOOD JOB.

22 I WAS NOTIFIED ON A MONDAY THAT THAT WOULD BE
23 MY LAST WEEK. AND AS PART OF MY EMPLOYMENT, AS PART OF MY
24 ARRANGEMENT TO COME TO WORK FOR HIM, I ALSO HAD AN
25 AGREEMENT WITH HIM TO RENT A HOUSEBOAT. HE OWNS THAT. I
26 WOULD LIVE ON IT; I WOULD PAY HIM RENT FOR THAT. I DID.

27 Q. PRIOR TO THAT TIME DID YOU AND HE HAVE ANY
28 DISAGREEMENT REGARDING PREPARATION OF TAX RETURN,

1 CORPORATE TAX RETURN?

2 A. YES, WE DID.

3 Q. WHAT TYPE OF TAX RETURN ARE YOU REFERRING TO?

4 A. WELL, THERE WERE TWO IN PARTICULAR THAT I WAS
5 CONCERNED ABOUT, ONE MORE SO THAN ANOTHER BECAUSE IT
6 DIRECTLY AFFECTED ME. THE FIRST WAS THE 1989 COMPANY TAX
7 RETURN FOR THE COMPANY. COMPANY WAS PURCHASED,
8 TECHNICALLY WENT INTO BUSINESS, I BELIEVE, THE FIRST WEEK
9 OF DECEMBER. SO, IN THE THREE -- THREE-AND-A-HALF WEEKS
10 THAT IT EXISTED, THERE HAD BEEN A TAX RETURN FILED.

11 AND THE ACCOUNTANT'S OFFICE CALLED ME IN MAY.
12 THEY WERE WORKING ON PREPARING THAT TAX RETURN AND WERE
13 CONCERNED ABOUT THE WAY THAT THE AMOUNTS ON THE RETURN
14 WERE BEING SHOWN AND HUGE AMOUNTS OF MONEY BEING WRITTEN
15 OFF. THE BALANCE SHEET SHOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDING 1989 A
16 LOSS OF \$228,000.

17 THAT AMOUNT CONSISTED OF THE FUNDS THAT MR.
18 CONSTANT PUT INTO THE BUSINESS TO BUY THOSE LICENSES. AND
19 WHAT HE ATTEMPTED TO DO WAS TO WRITE OFF THE ENTIRE
20 AMOUNT. HE ASKED ME TO CLASSIFY THEM AS EXPENSES OF
21 OPERATING THE BUSINESS SO THAT IT COULD ALL BE WRITTEN OFF
22 RATHER THAN DEPRECIATED OR CAPITALIZED.

23 THE ACCOUNTANT CALLED ME SEVERAL TIMES OVER A
24 PERIOD OF A COUPLE OF WEEKS AND WERE HAVING A PROBLEM
25 COMPLETING THE RETURN. AND THE ACCOUNTANT HIMSELF SPOKE
26 TO ME AND SAID, "I'M NOT GOING TO PUT MY NAME ON THIS
27 RETURN BECAUSE IT IS FALSE AND COULD CAUSE ME TO LOSE MY
28 LICENSE."

1 MR. CONSTANT FROM THAT POINT ON TOOK OVER THE
2 CONVERSATIONS WITH IT. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE OUTCOME WAS.

3 Q. JUST BEFORE YOU WERE TERMINATED IN AUGUST,
4 DID YOU HAVE TO WORK ON ANOTHER TAX RETURN?

5 A. HE HAD -- HAD ME TO -- ASKED ME TO COMPLETE
6 OTHER QUARTERLY REPORT. IT WAS AN ONGOING PROBLEM IN THE
7 COMPANY WITH PAYROLL TAXES AND CLASSIFICATION OF EMPLOYEES
8 AND THE PAYMENT OF THE TAXES.

9 HE ASKED ME IF I WOULD FILE THE ENTIRE NINE
10 MONTHS OR EIGHT MONTHS PAYROLL AS ONE FIRST AND FINAL
11 PAYROLL TO THE IRS, SO HE WOULD NOT HAVE TO PAY ANY
12 PENALTY OR TAX FOR LATE PAYMENT OF THE TAX WITHHELD. I
13 DIDN'T DO IT.

14 Q. YOU REFUSED TO DO SO?

15 A. I WAS GONE BEFORE I WAS PUT IN A POSITION TO
16 DO IT. I WAS NOT GOING TO PUT MY NAME ON THAT RETURN.

17 Q. OKAY. WERE YOU CONTACTED BY FRED CONSTANT
18 AND HIS WIFE REGARDING THIS CASE?

19 A. YES, I WAS.

20 Q. WHEN WAS THAT CONTACT?

21 A. MONDAY NIGHT, FEBRUARY 11TH.

22 Q. AND THEY CALLED YOU?

23 A. YES.

24 Q. HOW LONG DID THE CONVERSATION LAST?

25 A. FORTY-FIVE MINUTES TO AN HOUR.

26 Q. YOU LIVE IN LOS ANGELES, DON'T YOU?

27 A. YES, VAN NUYS.

28 Q. AND WHAT WAS THE SUBJECT OF THAT

1 CONVERSATION?

2 A. MR. CONSTANT -- MR. AND MRS. CONSTANT WERE

2 1 AND I WAS CONFUSED AS TO WHAT THE IMPLICATION
2 WAS. I SAID, "I'M NOT GETTING ANYTHING OUT OF THIS. I'M
3 NOT GETTING ANYTHING OUT OF THIS."

4 "YOU MUST BE GETTING SOMETHING. YOUR TIME IS
5 WORTH SOMETHING."

6 AFTER THAT THE CONVERSATION DISSOLVED. HE
7 THEN PROCEEDED TO IMPLY THAN HE WAS GOING TO CREATE
8 PROBLEMS FOR ME. HIS WORDS WERE, "PEOPLE WHO STIR UP
9 TROUBLE GET TROUBLE. DO YOU WANT TROUBLE?"

10 I SAID, "IS THAT A THREAT?"

11 HE SAID, "NO, IT'S A QUESTION. DO YOU WANT
12 TROUBLE?"

13 I SAID, "IT SOUNDS LIKE A THREAT TO ME."

14 HE THEN IMPLIED THAT HE WOULD CONTACT MY
15 EMPLOYER IN CALIFORNIA AND CAUSE TROUBLE FOR ME ON MY JOB
16 TO WHICH I KIND OF LAUGHED. KNOWING MY EMPLOYERS, THAT
17 WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE AND VERY UNWISE.

18 Q. DID HE ALSO TAKE STEPS AFTER THE CONVERSATION
19 TO GET -- TO TRY TO GET SOMEBODY TO SUE YOU?

20 A. YES, HE DID.

21 Q. TELL THE JUDGE ABOUT THAT.

22 A. HE -- HE CONTACTED A FRIEND OF MINE TO WHOM I
23 OWE MONEY, A PERSONAL DEBT, A PERSONAL LOAN FROM A FRIEND
24 OF MINE. HE CONTACTED THIS GENTLEMAN AND ASKED HIM TO SUE
25 ME FOR THAT MONEY.

26 Q. OKAY. AT THIS POINT ARE YOU FEARFUL FOR YOUR
27 OWN SAFETY REGARDING MR. CONSTANT?

28 A. SOMEWHAT.

2 1 Q. AND WHY?

2 A. WELL, COUPLE OF REASONS: ONE, HE'S CLEARLY
3 ATTEMPTING TO TRY TO INTIMIDATE ME AND CREATE PROBLEMS IN
4 MY LIFE BY CONTACTING MY FRIEND AND ENCOURAGING HIM TO
5 PRESS A LAWSUIT ON ME.

6 AND SECONDLY, I AM AWARE THAT MR. CONSTANT
7 HAS BEEN VIOLENT ON OCCASION AND HAS BEEN ARRESTED. A
8 PART OF ME IS SOMEWHAT CONCERNED AND CAUTIOUS. IT'S A
9 VERY UNCOMFORTABLE POSITION TO BE IN.

10 MR. GRAHAM: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

11 THE COURT: CROSS-EXAMINATION.

12
13 CROSS-EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:

15 Q. MISS ROPER, YOU CAME IN APRIL OF 1990?

16 A. YES.

17 Q. TO MEGAMEDIA. WAS MR. CROSS STILL THERE WHEN
18 YOU CAME?

19 A. NO.

20 Q. DID YOU EVER MEET HIM?

21 A. YES.

22 Q. ALL RIGHT. YOU WERE AWARE THAT HE HAD AN MBA
23 FROM HARVARD, HAD BEEN A BANK OFFICER PRIOR TO COMING TO
24 MEGAMEDIA?

25 A. MR. CROSS?

26 Q. YES.

27 A. I WAS NOT MADE AWARE OF MUCH OF HIS
28 BACKGROUND AT ALL.

EXHIBIT 7

0992-102-65

STAMP & RETURN
COPY

LAW OFFICES
HALEY, BADER & POTTS

SUITE 600

2000 M STREET, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-3374

(202) 331-0606

TELECOPIER (202) 296-8679

MICHAEL H. BADER
WILLIAM J. BYRNES
JOHN CRIGLER
JAMES E. DUNSTAN
JOHN WELLS KING
THEODORE D. KRAMER
BENJAMIN J. LAMBIOTTE
MARY A. McREYNOLDS
DAVID G. O'NEIL
JOHN M. PELKEY

KENNETH A. COX
MARY PRICE TAYLOR
COUNSEL

WILLIAM J. POTTS, JR.
RICHARD M. RIEHL
SUSAN H. ROSENBAUM
DAWN M. SCIARINO (NY)
LEE W. SHUBERT
HENRY A. SOLOMON
RICHARD H. STRODEL
JAMES M. TOWARNICKY
KATHLEEN VICTORY
MELODIE A. VIRTUE

LARRY D. SUMMERVILLE
BROADCAST ANALYST

ANDREW G. HALEY
(1904-1966)

March 2, 1992

Ms. Donna R. Searcy
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Amendment to Application
File No. BPH-911115MG of
Moonbeam, Inc. for
Calistoga, California

Dear Ms. Searcy:

Transmitted herewith, in triplicate, on behalf of Moonbeam, Inc., is an amendment to its above-referenced application (FCC Form 301) for authority to construct a new commercial FM broadcast station on channel 265 at Calistoga, California.

AMENDMENT

Please amend the pending application (FCC Form 301) of Moonbeam, Inc. (File No. BPH-911115MG), for a construction permit for a new commercial FM broadcast station on FM Channel 265 (100.9 MHz) at Calistoga, California, in the following respects:

Section II, Page 2 (FCC 301, Page 3): Delete the Section II, Page 2 (FCC 301, Page 3), previously submitted and substitute in lieu thereof the amended Section II, Page 2 (FCC 301, Page 3), that is submitted herewith.

Section II, Page 3 (FCC 301, Page 4): Delete the Section II, Page 3 (FCC 301, Page 4), previously submitted and substitute in lieu thereof the amended Section II, Page 3 (FCC 301 Page 4), that is submitted herewith.

Section III (FCC 301, Page 6): Delete the Section III (FCC 301, Page 6) previously

***KLEIN
BROADCAST
ENGINEERING***

FILE COPY

dedicated to improving the science and technology of radio & television communications

FCC FORM 301 APPLICATION

FOR FM C.P. CH.265A

AMENDMENT of APPLICATION

FCC FILE # BPH-911115MG

PREPARED FOR

MOONBEAM, INC.

CALISTOGA , CALIFORNIA

FEBRUARY

1992

KLEIN BROADCAST ENGINEERING

Dedicated to improving the science and technology of radio & television communications

FEBRUARY 1992

FCC FORM 301 APPLICATION
FOR AMENDMENT of FM CONSTRUCTION PERMIT APPLICATION
MOONBEAM, INC.
NEW (FM)
FM CHANNEL 265 A / 100.9 mHz.
CALISTOGA , CALIFORNIA

INTRODUCTION and ENGINEERING STATEMENT

The applicant, Moonbeam, Inc., has retained the firm of Klein Broadcast Engineering to prepare the engineering calculations and engineering exhibits required in support of FCC Form 301, an application to amend its existing application (FCC File Number BPH-911115MG) to construct a new Class A FM broadcast station, on FM channel 265 "A" , at Calistoga, California.

The facilities requested are as follows: Operation on FM Channel 265 A / 100.9 mHz. with 0.064 kilowatts E.R.P. in the horizontal and vertical planes, at 898 meters HAAT. The E.R.P. power level of 0.064 kilowatts is the calculated maximum power for Class "A" facilities at the 898 meter HAAT specified. The

KLEIN BROADCAST ENGINEERING

dedicated to improving the science and technology of radio & television communications

INTRODUCTION and ENGINEERING STATEMENT cont'd page two:

FCC FORM 301 APPLICATION
FOR AMENDMENT of FM CONSTRUCTION PERMIT APPLICATION
FCC FILE NUMBER BPH-911115MG
MOONBEAM, INC.
NEW (FM)
FM CHANNEL 265 A / 100.9 mHz.
CALISTOGA , CALIFORNIA

The areas within these contours were calculated by computer program or measured with a K & E Compensating Polar Planimeter, serial number 103293. Engineering Exhibit E-1A clearly shows the predicted 70 dBu (3.16 mV/m) City Grade contour will encompass the entire community of license, Calistoga, California.

The population data supplied in this application for FM Construction Permit was taken from the 1980 U.S. Census, unless more recent data was available at the time this application was prepared. This fact will be noted on exhibits dealing with such matters. Each prescribed contour was plotted and the population was summed within each contour. The population data was calculated and counted by census division, where a census division was partially contained, uniform population distribution was assumed and prorated by the contained portion of the census division.

Calculations have been made as to the proposed facilities compliance with the ANSI/EPA and FCC Guidelines on Human Exposure to Non-Ionizing RF Radiation. Details of compliance may be found in Engineering Exhibit E-10RHS, included and made a part of this application. Compliance is certified with the FCC O.S.T. Bulletin # 65, RFR Guidelines.

Exhibit E-11 is a vertical pattern plot of the Electronics Research Inc. model FML-1E, FM broadcast antenna to be used by the applicant for the facilities proposed in this application.

A Notice of Proposed Construction was filed with the Federal Aviation Administration on FAA Form 7460-1, on February 24, 1992 with the FAA Western Pacific Regional Office in Los Angeles, California. A copy of the notice as filed is included with this application as Engineering Exhibit E-15.

Compliance with Section 1.1307 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations is certified as this application would not be a major environmental action as defined.

KLEIN BROADCAST ENGINEERING


dedicated to improving the science and technology of radio & television communications

INTRODUCTION and ENGINEERING STATEMENT cont'd page three:

FCC FORM 301 APPLICATION
FOR AMENDMENT of FM CONSTRUCTION PERMIT APPLICATION
FCC FILE NUMBER BPH-911115MG
MOONBEAM, INC.
NEW (FM)
FM CHANNEL 265 A / 100.9 mHz.
CALISTOGA , CALIFORNIA

This FCC Form 301 Application for FM Broadcast Station Construction Permit has been prepared in accordance with Section 73 of the Rules and Regulations of the Federal Communications Commission as amended to date. Therefore, the applicant, Moonbeam, Inc., requests the Commission consider and GRANT this FCC Form 301 application for the facilities specified herein, a new FM Broadcast Station Construction Permit, new Class "A" FM broadcast station transmission facilities at Calistoga, California.

Respectfully submitted,



Elliott Kurt Klein,
Consulting Broadcast Engineer

24 February 1992

Section V-B - FM BROADCAST ENGINEERING DATA	FOR COMMISSION USE ONLY File No. _____ ASB Referral Date _____ Referred by _____
--	--

Name of Applicant

MOONBEAM, INC.

Call letters (if issued)

(NONE)

Is this application being filed in response to a window? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, specify closing date: _____

Purpose of Application: (check appropriate box(es))

AMEND PENDING APPLICATION FCC FILE # BPH-911115MG

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Construct a new (main) facility | <input type="checkbox"/> Construct a new auxiliary facility |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing construction permit for main facility | <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing construction permit for auxiliary facility |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Modify licensed main facility | <input type="checkbox"/> Modify licensed auxiliary facility |

If purpose is to modify, indicate below the nature of change(s) and specify the file number(s) of the authorizations affected.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antenna supporting-structure height | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Effective radiated power |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antenna height above average terrain | <input type="checkbox"/> Frequency |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antenna location | <input type="checkbox"/> Class |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Main Studio location | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Summarize briefly) |

File Number(s) AMEND PENDING APPLICATION / FCC FILE # BPH-911115MG

1. Allocation:

Channel No.	Principal community to be served:			Class (check only one box below)
265	City	County	State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <input type="checkbox"/> B1 <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C3 <input type="checkbox"/> C2 <input type="checkbox"/> C1 <input type="checkbox"/> C
	CALISTOGA	NAPA	CA.	

2. Exact location of antenna.

- (a) Specify address, city, county and state. If no address, specify distance and bearing relative to the nearest town or landmark. Atop Mount St. Helena, Sonoma County, California, 11.39 kilometers NNW of Calistoga, Napa County, California, on a bearing of 337.10° True.
- (b) Geographical coordinates (to nearest second). If mounted on element of an AM array, specify coordinates of center of array. Otherwise, specify tower location. Specify South Latitude or East Longitude where applicable; otherwise, North Latitude or West Longitude will be presumed.

Latitude ° ' " <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 5px;"> 384010 </div>	Longitude ° ' " <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 5px;"> 1223752 </div>
---	---

3. Is the supporting structure the same as that of another station(s) or proposed in another pending application(s)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, give call letter(s) or file number(s) or both.

K F T Y - T V / BPCT-900511KF & BLCT-850726KH

If proposal involves a change in height of an existing structure, specify existing height above ground level including antenna, all other appurtenances, and lighting, if any.

DNA

SECTION V-B - FM BROADCAST ENGINEERING DATA (Page 2)

4. Does the application propose to correct previous site coordinates?
If Yes, list old coordinates.

☐ Yes ☒ No

Latitude	0	'	"	Longitude	0	'	"
----------	---	---	---	-----------	---	---	---

5. Has the FAA been notified of the proposed construction?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, give date and office where notice was filed and attach as an Exhibit a copy of FAA determination, if available.

Exhibit No.
E-15

Date February 24, 1992 Office where filed Western Pacific Regional Office
Los Angeles, California

6. List all landing areas within 8 km of antenna site. Specify distance and bearing from structure to nearest point of the nearest runway.

Landing Area	Distance (km)	Bearing (degrees True)
(a) <u>7-M Ranch</u>	<u>7.67</u>	<u>46.8° T.</u>
(b) _____	_____	_____

7. (a) Elevation: (to the nearest meter)

(1) of site above mean sea level; 1306 meters

(2) of the top of supporting structure above ground (including antenna, all other appurtenances, and lighting, if any); and 52 meters

(3) of the top of supporting structure above mean sea level [(aX1) + (aX2)] 1358 meters

- (b) Height of radiation center: (to the nearest meter) H - Horizontal; V - Vertical

(1) above ground 12 meters (H)

12 meters (V)

(2) above mean sea level [(aX1) + (bX1)] 1318 meters (H)

1318 meters (V)

(3) above average terrain 898 meters (H)

898 meters (V)

8. Attach as an Exhibit sketch(es) of the supporting structure, labelling all elevations required in Question 7 above, except item 7(b)(3). If mounted on an AM directional-array element, specify heights and orientations of all array towers, as well as location of FM radiator.

Exhibit No.
E-4

9. Effective Radiated Power:

(a) ERP in the horizontal plane

0.064 kw (H*) 0.064 kw (V*)

(b) Is beam tilt proposed?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes specify maximum ERP in the plane of the tilted beam and attach as an Exhibit

Exhibit No.

10. Is a directional antenna proposed?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, attach as an Exhibit a statement with all data specified in 47 C.F.R. Section 73.316, including plot(s) and tabulations of the relative field.

Exhibit No.
DNA

11. Will the proposed facility satisfy the requirements of 47 C.F.R. Sections 73.315(a) and (b)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If No, attach as an Exhibit a request for waiver and justification therefor, including amounts and percentages of population and area that will not receive 316 mV/m service.

Exhibit No.
DNA

12. Will the main studio be within the protected 316 mV/m field strength contour of this proposal?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If No, attach as an Exhibit justification pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Section 73.1125.

Exhibit No.
DNA

13. (a) Does the proposed facility satisfy the requirements of 47 C.F.R. Section 73.207?

☒ Yes ☐ No

(b) If the answer to (a) is No, does 47 C.F.R. Section 73.213 apply? DNA

☐ Yes ☐ No

(c) If the answer to (b) is Yes, attach as an Exhibit a justification, including a summary of previous waivers.

Exhibit No.
DNA

(d) If the answer to (a) is No and the answer to (b) is No, attach as an Exhibit a statement describing the short spacing(s) and how it or they arose.

Exhibit No.
DNA

(e) If authorization pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Section 73.215 is requested, attach as an Exhibit a complete engineering study to establish the lack of prohibited overlap of contours involving affected stations. The engineering study must include the following:

Exhibit No.
DNA


- (1) Protected and interfering contours, in all directions (360°), for the proposed operation.
- (2) Protected and interfering contours, over pertinent arcs, of all short-spaced assignments, applications and allotments, including a plot showing each transmitter location, with identifying call letters or file numbers, and indication of whether facility is operating or proposed. For vacant allotments, use the reference coordinates as the transmitter location.
- (3) When necessary to show more detail, an additional allocation study utilizing a map with a larger scale to clearly show prohibited overlap will not occur.
- (4) A scale of kilometers and properly labeled longitude and latitude lines, shown across the entire exhibit(s). Sufficient lines should be shown so that the location of the sites may be verified.
- (5) The official title(s) of the map(s) used in the exhibit(s).


14. Are there: (a) within 60 meters of the proposed antenna, any proposed or authorized FM or TV transmitters, or any nonbroadcast (except citizens band or amateur) radio stations; or (b) within the blanketing contour, any established commercial or government receiving stations, cable head-end facilities, or populated areas; or (c) within ten (10) kilometers of the proposed antenna, any proposed or authorized FM or TV transmitters which may produce receiver-induced intermodulation interference?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, attach as an Exhibit a description of any expected, undesired effects of operations and remedial steps to be pursued if necessary, and a statement accepting full responsibility for the elimination of any objectionable interference (including that caused by receiver-induced or other types of modulation) to facilities in existence or authorized or to radio receivers in use prior to grant of this application. (See 47 C.F.R. Sections 73.315(b), 73.316(a) and 73.318.)

Exhibit No.
E-2/E-2A





SANTA ROSA




EXHIBIT 8

GARY E. WILLSON
2 CORTE DEL BAYO
LARKSPUR, CA 94939
(415) 924-3588

7/7/93

To whom it may concern:


My name is Gary E. Willson and I live at 2 Corte Del Bayo, Larkspur, California.

This is to confirm that KFTY, Channel 50, has it's main studios and offices at 533 Mendocino Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95401. Recently I walked by their studios to confirm their in the same location as always...533 Mendocino Avenue. I am familiar with their studios as I have visited their office on many occasions. KFTYs studios are located in the downtown section of Santa Rosa approximately 1/4 mile east of highway 101.

This statement is made under penalty of perjury.

Signed

Gary E. Willson



California—Santa Rosa

KFTY






EXHIBIT 9